



The Role of UCGIS as a Cooperating Agency for GIScience Education

Arthur Getis

San Diego State University

UCGIS/SPACE

**Link: Long standing working relationship
with NCGIA/CSISS**

UCGIS: Model Curricula

New Consortium Program from CSISS:

**Spatial Perspectives on Analysis for
Curriculum Enhancement (SPACE)**

SPACE

- **NSF Division of Undergraduate Education**
- **Project PI: Donald Janelle**
- **Co-PIs: Michael Goodchild, Richard Applebaum**
- **Participants in the Consortium: UCSB, OSU, UCGIS**
- **UCGIS: SDSU (2004)**

SPACE

- **Seeks to achieve systemic change within undergraduate education in the social sciences.**
- **Based on the value of spatial thinking, GIS, tools for spatial analysis.**
- **Firm belief that program will yield:**
 - Greater relevance to societal problems;**
 - Greater integration of technology into instruction.**

UCGIS Relationship to SPACE

- **UCGIS provides SPACE with access to a large number of specialist instructors and training facilities.**
- **UCGIS is in a position to publicize the activities of SPACE by means of its web site and its links to its member institutions.**

UCGIS/SPACE WORKSHOP 2004

- **Objectives:**
 - **Review literature on the role of GIScience in the social sciences.**
 - **Discuss pedagogical strategies for teaching of GIScience in various social science undergraduate classrooms.**
 - **Develop modules for instruction tailored to the needs and goals of individual university situations.**
 - **Develop instruments that will allow for the evaluation of the curriculum modules and their educational success.**

Workshop Organization and Instructors

- Workshop leaders and lecturers: Art Getis and John Weeks
- Collaboration and assistance from:
 - Geographers (M. Goodchild, D. Janelle, J. Aldstadt, P. Jankowski, S. Rey)
 - Evaluation specialists (F. Goodchild, S. Rebich)
- Venue and Time:
 - San Diego State University, Department of Geography
 - Early August 2004
- Resources:
 - Computer laboratories, software, meeting rooms, accommodations, CSISS resources, ESRI, Intergraph, Idrisi

Participants

- Some experience in dealing with spatial analysis and/or GIS
- Stated possibility (perhaps commitment) for including SPACE in classroom
- Social science disciplines represented [urban and regional planning (4), environmental studies (3), GIS (3), geography (3), sociology (3), criminology (2), economics (1), regional science (1)],
- Institutions represented: Ohio State, Ariz St, Gustavus Adolphus, UTex-Dallas, Old Dominion, Southern Cal, Ariz, West Virginia, Nebraska Wesleyan, CSU Long Beach, Colorado, Maryland, Washington College, Methodist College, GWU, Memphis, Columbia, HawaiiH

One-Week Workshop Objectives

- To review, teach participants, and discuss literature on the role of GIScience in the social sciences.
- To discuss pedagogical strategies for the teaching of GIScience in undergraduate classrooms, in general.
- To consider the way in which GIScience might be taught in various social science curricula.
- To develop modules for instruction in undergraduate social science courses tailored to the needs and goals of individual university situations.
- To develop instruments that will allow for the evaluation of the curriculum modules and their educational success.

Monday

- Lectures:
 - The role of spatial science in the social sciences: The meaning of spatial thinking
 - Objectives of SPACE
 - Review of GIScience concepts.
 - Presentation of GIScience solutions to social science problems
 - Characteristics of an ideal project.
- Laboratory:
 - Exercise on a GIScience issue (distribution of crime)
 - Software demo (*Geoda*)

Tuesday

- Lectures:
 - Spatial analysis application in demography.
 - Construction of curricula.
 - GIScience ideas and tools
 - Further on the construction of curricula.
- Laboratory:
 - Exercise on GIScience issue (clustering)

Wednesday

- Lectures and discussion:
 - Spatial analysis for curriculum development
 - Software demos
 - Curriculum development and enhancement
 - Resource issues in curriculum development
 - Development of evaluation instruments; student assessments.

Thursday

- Lecture and laboratory:
 - Participatory problem solving and decision making with GIScience
 - Software demo (STARS, Flow Mapper)
- Beginning of participant presentations

Friday

- Participants present their curriculum development plans
 - Panel discussion
 - Summing up.

EXIT SURVEY

- Compare to entry survey which asked about background, expectations
- Rating (1 to 4 Scale):
 - Removed barriers spatial teaching: 3.56
 - Met expectations spatial statistics: 3.75
 - Gained ideas about pedagogical strategies: 3.56
 - Increase in knowledge of spatial tools: 3.81
 - Quality of instruction: 3.81
 - Quality of exercises: 3.75
 - Overall (29 criteria): 3.57

2005 and 2006

- 2005
San Francisco State University
- 2006
University of Oklahoma